

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/729,015 12/08/2003		2/08/2003	Nobuo Komeyama	K06-163809M/TBS	9139
21254	7590	06/24/2005		EXAM	INER
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC				BINDA, GREGORY JOHN	
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA VA 22182-3817				3679	

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/729,015	KOMEYAMA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Greg Binda	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u>May 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdruckim(s) <u>10-14 and 17</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9,15,16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <i>various</i> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 or No(s)/Mail Date 20040129	Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to because:
 - a. The drawings fail to show:
 - i. The opening 46b with a spherical shape different from the spherical shape of the bottom region 46a as recited in claim 1.
 - ii. The rollers 6, 6a with curved ends as recited in claim 6
 - iii. All of the limitations of claims 15 & 20.
 - b. Fig. 6 fails to show the thrust bearings 7.
- 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

Art Unit: 3679

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 18 is objected to because in line 9 the word "or" should be changed to "of".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-9, 15 & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not pointed out where the following limitations are supported, nor does there appear to be a written description of the limitations in the application as originally filed:
 - a. Claim 1, lines 6-10: "an opening region [46b] of the recess [46] except the bottom region [46a] has a spherical shape different from the spherical shape of the bottom region"

Art Unit: 3679

b. Claim 15: "the opening region [46 comprises a tapered shape and] comprises a curved shape having an inner diameter gradually increasing toward the opening end edge of the recess"

- c. Claim 20: "the opening region [46b has a spherical shape and] has a tapered shape"
- 7. Claims 7, 8 & 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claims 7 & 8 each recite the limitation "the bottom region in the spherical shape".

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
 - b. Claim 16 recites the limitation, "adjacent rolling faces". It is not clear if these faces are the same as, or different from the bearing rolling faces recited in claim 10, line 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woolgar, US 1,602,472. Fig. 1 shows a cross shaft comprising: a trunnion 1; a roller bearing 6, 14 externally provided on the trunnion comprising rollers 14 arranged in a plurality of rows in an axial direction of the trunnion; and a plurality of bearing rolling faces corresponding to the plurality of rows of rollers provided on an outer peripheral face 3 of the trunnion reduced in diameter from a root 2 of the trunnion toward a distal end 4 of the trunnion. Fig. 1 shows a radial clearance (filled in by the elements 7 & 8) between the rollers 14 and the rolling faces is increased for each

Art Unit: 3679

of the plurality of rows from the root 2 of the trunnion 1 toward the distal end 4 of the trunnion and diameters of the rollers 14 comprising the roller bearing are the same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Question 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolgar. Woolgar shows all the limitations of the claim but does expressly disclose the difference in the outer diameters of adjacent rolling faces is in a range from about 0.1% to 0.5% of a diameter of the rollers. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the difference in the outer diameters of adjacent rolling faces is in a range from about 0.1% to 0.5% of a diameter of the rollers, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 10-14 & 17 are allowed.
- 11. Claim 16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Petrzelka, Ende, Subers and Knox each show a cross shaft.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,015

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Binda Primary Examiner Page 7

Art Unit 3679